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- Simulation of particle collisions computationally expensive, but most of the results are uninteresting and thrown away
- Idea: Can we figure out whether a collision is uninteresting at an early stage?
- Skip expensive steps



## Dataset

Three categories of decay data:

- Full charged $B$ meson reconstruction
- Full neutral $B$ meson reconstruction
- Time-dependent $C P$ violation

For each category:
$\sim 300,000$ particle collision processes with binary classification labels

| $\begin{aligned} & \Upsilon(4 S)(300553) \\ & B_{B^{0}(-511)}\left(\begin{array}{l} (-51) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ |  | Feature | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J/ $/{ }^{+}$(443) |  | PDG code | Identifier of particle type and charge. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mu^{+} & (-13) \\ \mu^{-} & (13) \end{array}$ |  | Mother PDG code | Particle parent PDG code. |
| $\begin{array}{cc} K_{S}^{0} & (310) \\ n_{j} \end{array}$ |  | Mass | Particle mass in $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. |
| ${ }_{\text {po }}{ }^{\text {p }}{ }^{+}$(2111) |  | Charge | Electric charge of the particle. |
| $\begin{gathered} B^{0^{r}}(511) \\ \bar{D}^{0}(-421) \end{gathered}$ |  | Energy | Particle energy in GeV . |
| $\mathrm{pi}^{-}(-211)$ |  | Momentum | Three momentum of the particle in Gev/c. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}^{+}(321) \\ & p i^{-}(-211) \end{aligned}$ |  | Production time | Production time in ns relative to $\Upsilon(4 S)$ production. |
| $\mu^{+} \quad(-13)$ |  | Production vertex | Coordinates of particle production vertex. |
| $\nu_{\mu}$ (14) | , | Status bit | Bitmask representing MC production conditions. |
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Goals for this week:

- Implement graph convolution networks
- Decorrelate network from selected kinematies


## Original Graph Convolutional Networks (GCN)

Propagation rule of layer activations $H^{(l)}$

$$
H^{(l+1)}=\sigma\left(\tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{A} \tilde{D}^{-1} H^{(l)} W^{(l)}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H^{(0)}=X \\
\tilde{A}=A+I_{N} \\
\tilde{D}_{i i}=\sum_{j} \tilde{A}_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\tilde{A}^{N \times N}=A+I=\begin{gathered}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thomas N. Kipf, Max Welling, Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks (ICLR 2017)

## Modified GCN

$$
H^{(l+1)}=\sigma\left(H^{l}\left(\tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{A} \tilde{D}^{-1} W_{1}^{(l)}\right)^{T} W_{2}^{(l)}\right)
$$

Intuition: custom weights for each node, considering neighbors

- Weight vector for every node: $W_{1}$ (size: $N \times F$ )
- Multiply by $\tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{A} \tilde{D}^{-1} \longrightarrow$ weight vector for every node as the average of the vectors of neighboring nodes (output size: $N \times F$ again)
- Transpose and multiply by $W_{2} \longrightarrow$ custom dense layer for each node ( $W_{2}$ size: $N \times U$, result size: $F \times U$ )
- Multiply by $H$ : transform every node in the previous layer with its own custom layer ( $H$ size: $N \times F$, result size: $N \times U$ )


## Modified Node Aggregation

- Soft attention with weights given by $D$ and values given by $\tanh (E)$
- $E, D$ : Output of dense layers applied independently to the features of each node

$$
h_{i}^{(l+1)}=\sum_{j} \frac{\exp \sum_{k} D_{(j k)}^{(l)}}{\sum_{l} \exp \sum_{k} D_{(j k)}^{(l)}} \tanh E_{j i}^{(l)}
$$



Adapted from: Yujia Li, Richard Zemel, Marc Brockschmidt, Daniel Tarlow, Gated Graph Sequence Neural Networks, ICLR 2016

## Features

Green: 'pass'. red: 'fail'
prodTime


energy


PDG


## Features II

$x, y, z$ coordinates: Hard to normalize


## Architecture

$\mathrm{p}=$ GraphConvolution(128, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))([feature_input, laplacian_input])
p = layers.LeakyReLU()(p)
$\mathrm{p}=$ GraphConvolution(128, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))([p, laplacian_input])
p = layers.LeakyReLU()(p)
p = NodeAggregation(256, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))(p)|
m = layers.Concatenate()([pdg_l, feature_input])
$\mathrm{m}=$ layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Conv1D(128, 5)(m))
$\mathrm{m}=$ layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Conv1D(128, 5)(m))
m = layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Conv1D(128, 5)(m))
$\mathrm{m}=$ layers.GlobalAveragePooling1D()(m)
$\mathrm{l}=$ layers.Concatenate()([m, p])
l = layers.Dropout(0.5)(l)
l = layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Dense(512, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))(l))
l = layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Dense(256, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))(l))
l = layers.Dropout(0.3)(l)
$l=$ layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Dense(128, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))(l))
l = layers.LeakyReLU()(layers.Dense(32, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(l2_strength))(l))
output_layer = layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')(l)

- Class weights
- Early stopping
- Reduce learning rate on plateau
- Model checkpoint - save only best


## Architecture



## Results

- Train on random subset of 50 k processes
- Test on 40k independent processes





## Next steps

- Bias quantification/mitigation
- Hyperparameter optimisation
- Train on large dataset and other skims
- Talk accepted at CHEP2019 (Computing in High Energy Physics) proceedings

Backup

## Results (Smaller network)





